Head of The Department





Staff: VIJAYAN N.S.

Subject : ESL120(B) - CIVIL & MECHANICAL WORKSHOP

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Users Completed: 63

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

| tion | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|------|---|-----------------|-------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| | 1. Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 51 | I trated V | 905.25 |
| | 1. Subject knowledge | Good | 14.13 | 11 | 17.46% | 155.43 |
| - / | | Fair | 10.28 | 1 | 1.59% | 10.28 |
| J | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| 1 | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14.50 | 33 | 52.38% | 478.5 |
| 1 | 2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 21 | 33.33% | 248.01 |
| 1 | | Fair | 8.11 | 9 | 14.29% | 72.99 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| 1 | 3 Teachers Williamess to Help | Excellent | 7.50 | 37 | 58.73% | 277.5 |
| | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Good | 5.71 | 19 | 30.16% | 108.49 |
| 1 | | Fair | 4.04 | 7 | 11.11% | 28.28 |
| ı | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| 1 | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 50 | 79.37% | 292.5 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 3 | 4.76% | 10.98 |
| 1 | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 10 | 15.87% | 16.4 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 57 | 90.48% | 228 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 1 | 1.59% | 0.67 |
| ı | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 5 | | 8.25 |
| 1 | 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture | Excellent | 9.25 | 37 | 58.73% | 342.25 |
| 1 | | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 23 | 36.51% | 145.82 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 3 | 4.76% | 7.5 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | 2 Canal of annualistica | Just Right | 4.75 | 56 | 88.89% | 266 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Too Fast | 1.34 | 2 | 3.17% | 2.68 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 5 | | 7 |
| | 2. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Yes | 6.88 | 49 | 77.78% | 337.12 |
| | Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 10 | 15.87% | 38.9 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 4 | 6.35% | 7.12 |
| | Behavior of the teacher | Pleasant | 6.75 | 54 | 85.71% | 364.5 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 5 | 7.94% | 13.9 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 1 2 | 1.59% | 1.28 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 3 | | 5.82 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Sincere | 11.50 | 58 | 92.06% | 667 |
| | 5. Sincertly of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 4 | | 20.24 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 1 | | 4.03 |
| | 7 6 11 T 11 M 2 | Excellent | 11.25 | 37 | | 416.25 |
| | Overall Teaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 19 | | 171.57 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 7 | _ | 45.22 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | _ | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

· excellent teaching

. Though his lab sessions were online, he made up in the contact classes. Great sessions. He helped us immensely during practicals.

THIRUVANANTH

excellent

· good helpful and considerate to students problems.

Special Remarks

- He is the only one who doesn't talk to students, mostly stubbern. But he is good in what he do.
 explained well and was very helpful with the workshop sessions

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.SANUKRISHNA S.S.

Subject: EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Users Completed: 63

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 97.28

| Section | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|---------|---|-----------------|-------|----------------|---------|---------------|
| 1 | Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 60 | 95.24% | 1065 |
| | 1. Subject Knowledge | Good | 14.13 | 2 | 3.17% | 28.26 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 1 | 1.59% | 10.28 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14.50 | 60 | 95.24% | 870 |
| | 2. Clarity and Olderstanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 3 | 4.76% | 35.43 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| m I | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Excellent | 7.50 | 57 | 90.48% | 427.5 |
| | | Good | 5.71 | 4 | 6.35% | 22.84 |
| | | Fair | 4.04 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.13 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 1 | 1.59% | 2.21 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 51 | 80.95% | 298.35 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 12 | 19.05% | 19.68 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 60 | 95.24% | 240 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 2 | 3.17% | 1.34 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.65 |
| 2 | Teachers ability to organize lecture | Excellent | 9.25 | 59 | 93.65% | 545.75 |
| | | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 4 | 6.35% | 25.36 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2 51-6 | Just Right | 4.75 | 61 | 96.83% | 289.75 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Too Fast | 1.34 | 2 | 3.17% | 2.68 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 3 B | Yes | 6.88 | 63 | 100% | 433.44 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Pleasant | 6.75 | 59 | 93.65% | 398.25 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 2 | 3.17% | 5.56 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 1 . | 1.59% | 1.28 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.94 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Sincere | 11.50 | 61 | 96.83% | 701.5 |
| | 5. Sincerny of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.33 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 1 | 1.59% | 5.06 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 6 Ownell Tember offention | Excellent | 11.25 | 57 | 90.48% | 641.25 |
| | 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 5 | 7.94% | 45.15 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 1 | 1.59% | 6.46 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

- excellent teaching
 Sanu Sir provided the best study materials of all subjects this semester. Truly impressive teaching. He compiled vast topics to small slides, and still made them informative and interesting. His classes were interactive and he answered all questions very clearly. Truly, one of the best faculty I met this semester.
- great teacher
- · Explains very well, notes are really extensive and to the point, helpful
- . The classes create a free atmosphere to make mistakes and reassuring nature of the faculty enables the students to let their idea to be expressed

- The perfect teacher in whole of sem 1. perfect teaching style, perfect time, we could understand just from class and don't need to study at home because we already are equipped from class. Please don't ever sent him away it's a request.

 • Tone of teaching is very helpful and friendly to us.
- Exceptional Teacher

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.ANUP THOMAS

Subject: CYL120 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY LAB

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

| Users | Completed : 63 | | | _ | Overall Scor | e: 95.17 |
|--------|---|-----------------|---------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| ection | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
| 1 | 1. Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 57 | 90.48% | 1011.7 |
| | | Good | 14.13 , | 6 | 9.52% | 84.78 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14.50 | 46 | 73.02% | 667 |
| | | Good | 11.81 | 15 | 23.81% | 177.15 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 2 | 3.17% | 16.22 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Excellent | 7.50 | 56 | 88.89% | 420 |
| | 5. Teachers winnigness to Help | Good | 5.71 | 6 | 9.52% | 34.26 |
| | | Fair | 4.04 | 1 | 1.59% | 4.04 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 49 | 77.78% | 286.65 |
| | | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 1 | 1.59% | 3.66 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 13 | 20.63% | 21.32 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 60 | 95.24% | 240 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 3 | 4.76% | 4.95 |
| 2 | 1 Tools billion to according to the | Excellent | 9.25 | 54 | 85.71% | 499.5 |
| | Teachers ability to organize lecture | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 8 | 12.7% | 50.72 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 1 | 1.59% | 3.16 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Just Right | 4.75 | 56 | 88.89% | 266 |
| | | Too Fast | 1.34 | 4 | 6.35% | 5.36 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 3 | 4.76% | 4.2 |
| | 3 B 4 4 4 | Yes | 6.88 | 56 | 88.89% | 385.28 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 7 | 11.11% | 27.23 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 181 | Pleasant | 6.75 | 58 | 92.06% | 391.5 |
| | Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 3 | 4.76% | 8.34 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 2 | 3.17% | 3.88 |
| | | Sincere | 11.50 | 60 | 95.24% | 690 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.33 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 2 | 3.17% | 10.12 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Excellent | 11.25 | 50 | 79.37% | 562.5 |
| | Overall Teaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 12 | 19.05% | 108.36 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 1 | 1.59% | 6.46 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 0 | 0% | 0.40 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

· excellent teaching

excellent

· Explanations were excellent and was very clear and brief.

ODE THIRWIANANTH

Too much written work is counterproductive. Because of the workload we tend to merely fill the pages without actually learning anything(for which we don't get enough time).

- In the lab part he was just up to the speed.
 Lab sessions, though Virtual, were very helpful. Thankyou sir.

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.ANUP THOMAS

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 94.93

| | Completed : 63 Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|------|--|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|---------------|
| 1 | 1 C. Nort Variables | Excellent | 17.75 | 55 | 87.3% | 976.25 |
| 17.E | Subject Knowledge | Good | 14.13 | 8 | Opted % | 113.04 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | | 0 |
| - | | Excellent | 14.50 | 48 | _ | 696 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 12 | _ | 141.72 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 3 | | 24.33 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | | 0 |
| 1 | | Excellent | 7.50 | 55 | - | 412.5 |
| | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Good | 5.71 | 7 | 7.59% 9.52% 1.59% | 39.97 |
| | | Fair | 4.04 | 1 | | 4.04 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 47 | - | 274.95 |
| | Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 1 | | 3.66 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 15 | _ | 24.6 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 59 | - | 236 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 2 | _ | 1.34 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 2 | | 3.3 |
| 2 | | Excellent | 9.25 | 56 | | 518 |
| - | Teachers ability to organize lecture | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 6 | | 38.04 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 1 | | 2.5 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | CORPORAL DE CORPORTE DE CORPOR | Just Right | 4.75 | 52 | - | 247 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Too Fast | 1.34 | 6 | _ | 8.04 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 5 | | 7 |
| | | Yes | 6.88 | 58 | _ | 399.04 |
| | Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 5 | | 19.45 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 0 | | 0 |
| | Courtone to create an appear of the creater | Pleasant | 6.75 | 58 | _ | 391.5 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 3 | _ | 8.34 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 1 | | 1.28 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 1 | | 1.94 |
| | | Sincere | 11.50 | 61 | _ | 701.5 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | | | | 0.01 | 0 |
| | | Not Sincere | 5.06 | 2 | _ | 10.12 |
| | | Unable to Judge Blank | 4.03 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Excellent | 11.25 | 49 | | 551.25 |
| | Overall Teaching effectiveness | | 9.03 | 13 | _ | 117.39 |
| | | Good Fair | 6.46 | 13 | | 6.46 |
| | | | | 0 | _ | 0.40 |
| | | Poor Can't Judge | 1.56 2.03 | 0 | | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

· excellent teaching

• Anup Sir is already well known for his teaching effectiveness. He is friendly (extremely) and has this ability to make even seemingly boring topics appear not that bland ???? topics appear not that bland ????

THIRWYAHAM

very sincere amidst the various crisis he takes his best efforts
The amount of sections he teaches every hour is incomparable with how much we have to put up with to complete studying a single hour. That much he completes in a single class. Too much that we only had unge to do chemistry this whole semester.

Excellent

- sessions were very extensive and explainations were very helpful. Notes are really great.
- Readiness and willingness to help is highly appreciable.... Bt just like every other subjects faced, even here the portions were to be covered in a hurry when it came to the end.... The assignments given at the point also created a stress among the students as the exams were near, which otherwise would have helped a lot to connect with the learning more....

- · high knowledge depth
- Despite the difficulties he has to deal with, anup sir has done so much to make sure students understood the topics taught. He is very supportive of the students and we find him approachable in all difficulties.
- · very lucky to have such a faculty
- · Could have taken the topic a bit slow.
- · Thankyou sir for putting in the effort for us.
- powli????

Head of The Department

* PINNE STATE OF THE CHILD IN CHILD IN



Staff: APARNA S

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

| Section | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|---------|---|-----------------|-------|----------------|---|---------------|
| 1 | 1 Subject Vaccalidas | Excellent | 17.75 | 47 | 74.6% | 834.25 |
| | Subject Knowledge | Good | 14.13 | 15 | 23.81% | 211.95 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 1 | 1.59% | 10.28 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14.50 | 42 | 66.67% | 609 |
| | 2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 18 | 28.57% | 212.58 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 2 | ed 74.6% 74.6% 23.81% 1.59% 0% 0% 66.67% 28.57% 3.17% 1.59% 0% 79.37% 17.46% 3.17% 0% 76.19% 3.17% 0% 76.19% 3.17% 0% 76.3% 90.48% 4.76% 4.76% 73.02% 23.81% 3.17% 0% 95.24% 3.17% 0% 95.24% 3.17% 0% 95.24% 3.17% 0% 95.24% 3.17% 0% 73.02% 73.02% 73.02% 73.02% 73.02% 73.02% 73.02% 73.02% | 16.22 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 1 | | 2.01 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Excellent | 7.50 | 50 | 79.37% | 375 |
| | 3. Teachers willingness to Help | Good | 5.71 | 11 | 17.46% | 62.81 |
| | | Fair | 4.04 | 2 | 3.17% | 8.08 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 48 | 76.19% | 280.8 |
| | | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 2 | 3.17% | 7.32 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 13 | 20.63% | 21.32 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 57 | 90.48% | 228 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 3 | 4.76% | 2.01 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 3 | 4.76% | 4.95 |
| 2 | 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture | Excellent | 9.25 | 46 | 73.02% | 425.5 |
| | | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 15 | 23.81% | 95.1 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 2 | 3.17% | 5 |
| | * | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2 Freed of association | Just Right | 4.75 | 60 | 95.24% | 285 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Too Fast | 1.34 | 2 | 3.17% | 2.68 |
| | N E III | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.4 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Yes | 6.88 | 55 | 87.3% | 378.4 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 8 | 12.7% | 31.12 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Pleasant | 6.75 | 62 | 98.41% | 418.5 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.94 |
| | 5 Sincerity of the teacher | Sincere | 11.50 | 62 | 98.41% | 713 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 1 | | 5.06 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness | Excellent | 11.25 | 46 | - | 517.5 |
| | o. Overall reaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 15 | _ | 135.45 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 2 | | 12.92 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

• excellent teaching

• Though slow at times, she managed her classes well. Her classes were interesting and content rich, which was a great thing, considering the limited time she had to cover her portions

A BULLEGE DE · explained the topics well. Her notes were very helpful and her tone of teaching was very friendly.

Special Remarks • pleasant attitude

- Though we got only few classes compared to dona miss in a week she was also up to the mark with dona miss. Excellent.
 Thankyou ma'am.

Head of The Department

THIRWVANANTH





Staff: DONA SEBASTIAN

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 95.3

| ction | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|-------|---|-----------------|-------|----------------|--|---------------|
| 19. | 1. Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 49 | 77.78% | 869.75 |
| | 1. Subject kilowicage | Good | 14.13 | 13 | 20.63% | 183.69 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 1 | 1.59% | 10.28 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14.50 | 47 | 74.6% | 681.5 |
| | 2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 15 | 23.81% | 177.15 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 1 | 77.78% 20.63% 1.59% 0% 0% 74.6% | 8.11 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4 | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2 Touch on William on to Hale | Excellent | 7.50 | 52 | 82.54% | 390 |
| | Teachers Willingness to Help | Good | 5.71 | 11 | 17.46% | 62.81 |
| | | Fair | 4.04 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | A CAN CAL NOT ALL ALL ALL | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 48 | 76.19% | 280.8 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 2 | | 7.32 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 13 | _ | 21.32 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 59 | _ | 236 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 3 | | 2.01 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 1 | _ | 1.65 |
| | Teachers ability to organize lecture | Excellent | 9.25 | 50 | _ | 462.5 |
| | | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 13 | _ | 82.42 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 0 | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | | Just Right | 4.75 | 62 | | 294.5 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Too Fast | 1.34 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 1 | | 1.4 |
| | | Yes | 6.88 | 60 | | 412.8 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 2 | | 7.78 |
| | | No No | 0.74 | 1 | | 0.74 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Pleasant | 6.75 | 61 | | 411.75 |
| | Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 0 | _ | 0 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 2 | | 3.88 |
| | | Sincere | 11.50 | 62 | | 713 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 0 | | 0 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 1 | | 4.03 |
| | | Excellent | 11.25 | 51 | | 573.75 |
| | Overall Teaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 10 | | 90.3 |
| | | 1.1546991161201 | | - | _ | _ |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 2 | 3.17% | 12.92 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

- · excellent teaching
- . Dona Miss came late for us, but she handled everything very effectively with her limited time and resources. She helped us a lot when we faced issues. She had answers for all our questions and her classes were cheerful.
- · Very encouraging to speak up. Helps us to improve and explains topics well.
- · understanding students...

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thiruma College of Enry Teivne.

- pleasant attitude
 Great Teacher. Handled her classes in the best possible way.
- The next lifeskill could also have been superb if she was our teacher.
 Lab sessions were extra fun. and she appreciates us by her heart which I personally loved the most, great ma'am

Head of The Department



PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunai

College of Engineering Trivandrum - 18





Staff: DHANYA V V

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

| Section | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|---------|--|-----------------|-------|----------------|--|---------------|
| 1 | Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 52 | 82.54% | 923 |
| | 1. Subject Knowledge | Good | 14.13 | 10 | 15.87% | 141.3 |
| | the state of the s | Fair | 10.28 | 1 | 1.59% | 10.28 |
| | (M.) | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2 Clair divides the factor of | Excellent | 14.50 | 50 | 79.37% | 725 |
| | Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 12 | 19.05% | 141.7 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 1 | 1.59% | 8.11 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 1 Opted % 82.54% 15.87% 1.59% 0% 79.37% 19.05% 1.59% | 0 |
| | 2. Tanahara Willingmasa ta Hala | Excellent | 7.50 | 49 | | 367.5 |
| | Teachers Willingness to Help | Good | 5.71 | 14 | | 79.94 |
| | | Fair | 4.04 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | 19.05% 1.59% 0% 0% 0% 77.78% 22.22% 0% 0% 0% 79.37% 0% 20.63% 88.89% 6.35% 4.76% 80.95% 19.05% 0% 88.89% 4.76% 88.89% 6.35% 88.89% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 50 | 79.37% | 292.5 |
| | | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 13 | 20.63% | 21.32 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 56 | 88.89% | 224 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 4 | 6.35% | 2.68 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 3 | 4.76% | 4.95 |
| 2 | 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture | Excellent | 9.25 | 51 | | 471.7 |
| | 1. Teachers ability to organize recture | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 12 | 19.05% | 76.08 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Just Right | 4.75 | 56 | 88.89% | 266 |
| | 2. Speed of preschauton | Too Fast | 1.34 | 3 | 4.76% | 4.02 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 4 | 6.35% | 5.6 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Yes | 6.88 | 56 | 88.89% | 385.2 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 6 | 9.52% | 23.34 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 1 | 1.59% | 0.74 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | Behavior of the teacher | Pleasant | 6.75 | 58 | 92.06% | 391.5 |
| | The second secon | Indifferent | 2.78 | 1 | 1.59% | 2.78 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 4 | 6.35% | 7.76 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Sincere | 11.50 | 61 | 96.83% | 701.5 |
| | 3. Sincerity of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 2 | 3.17% | 10.12 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness | Excellent | 11.25 | 50 | 79.37% | 562.5 |
| | 211111111111111111111111111111111111111 | Good | 9.03 | 11 | 17.46% | 99.33 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 2 | 3.17% | 12.92 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

- · excellent teaching
- excellent teaching
 Handled her subject well. Was willing to help immensely.
 Since we were time limited she taught at a very high speed not taking sufficient time for each problem.
- very helpful and explains well. completed every topics ma question solving manner.
- . The lectures were in perfect track till half of the portions and then due to deadlines the portions had to be covered in a hurry which made it less effective

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thiruna. College of Engineering

- Despite the qualms of meeting students online, Dhanya ma'am always made it a point to get to know all the students personally, making small talk with us... She made math class fun and it's truly unfortunate that she has left SCT after only one semester with us first years. We wish ma'am all the best.
- She is one of the best teachers who completed at the right time, was able to understand topics well, feeling really bad to hear one of the finest teachers is leaving the college.
- · will miss her in S4 thank you ma'am

Head of The Department

THE THEORY THEORY WAS AND THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE TH





Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: ESL120 - CIVIL & MECHANICAL WORKSHOP

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Users Completed: 63

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 94.26

| ection | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|--------|---|-----------------|-------|----------------|---------|---------------|
| 1 | 1. Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 52 | 82.54% | 923 |
| | 1. Subject knowledge | Good | 14.13 | 11 | 17.46% | 155.43 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14.50 | 44 | 69.84% | 638 |
| | | Good | 11.81 | 17 | 26.98% | 200.77 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 2 | 3.17% | 16.22 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. To och one William on to Hole | Excellent | 7.50 | 51 | 80.95% | 382.5 |
| | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Good | 5.71 | 12 | 19.05% | 68.52 |
| | | Fair | 4.04 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 47 | 74.6% | 274.95 |
| | | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 2 | 3.17% | 7.32 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 14 | 22.22% | 22.96 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 59 | 93.65% | 236 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 2 | 3.17% | 1.34 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 2 | 3.17% | 3.3 |
| 2 | 1 T 1 177 1 1 1 1 | Excellent | 9.25 | 47 | 74.6% | 434.75 |
| | Teachers ability to organize lecture | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 15 | 23.81% | 95.1 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 1 | 1.59% | 2.5 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 3 S1-6 | Just Right | 4.75 | 57 | 90.48% | 270.75 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Too Fast | 1.34 | 4 | 6.35% | 5.36 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 2 | 3.17% | 2.8 |
| | 3 D | Yes | 6.88 | 56 | 88.89% | 385.28 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 6 | 9.52% | 23.34 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.78 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Pleasant | 6.75 | 59 | 93.65% | 398.25 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 1 | 1.59% | 2.78 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.28 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 2 | 3.17% | 3.88 |
| | 5 Circle Col 1 | Sincere | 11.50 | 62 | 98.41% | 713 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 1 | 1.59% | 1.33 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 6 Ownell Teaching offers | Excellent | 11.25 | 46 | 73.02% | 517.5 |
| | 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 15 | 23.81% | 135.45 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 2 | 3.17% | 12.92 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

- · excellent teaching
- · Right speed good.
- excellent

· workshop sessions were excellent and fun to learn, we were made to do tasks ourselves helping us to connect well.

SHAMME POST

Special Remarks

· very helpful and encouraging, will explain same topics multiple times if needed without hesitation, will miss that in future

Head of The Department







Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021

Users Completed: 64

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 91.66

| | Completed : 64 Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|---|---|-----------------|-------|----------------|---|---------------|
| 1 | 1. Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 51 | 79.69% 20.31% 0% 0% 0% 64.06% 26.56% 4.69% 3.13% 1.56% 78.13% 0% 0% 75% 1.56% 0% 23.44% 89.06% 7.81% 3.13% 67.19% 25% 4.69% 3.13% 79.69% 10.94% 9.38% 84.38% 10.94% 9.38% 84.38% 10.94% 1.56% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.56% 0% 0% 0% 1.56% 1.56% 0% 0% | 905.25 |
| | 1. Subject Knowledge | Good | 14.13 | 13 | 20.31% | 183.69 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14.50 | 41 | 64.06% | 594.5 |
| | 2. Clarity and Chacistanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 17 | 26.56% | 200.77 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 3 | 4.69% | 24.33 |
| | | Poor | 2.01 | 2 | 3.13% | 4.02 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 1 | 1.56% | 4.09 |
| | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Excellent | 7.50 | 50 | 78.13% | 375 |
| | 3. Teachers winnighess to Help | Good | 5.71 | 12 | 18.75% | 68.52 |
| | 5 | Fair | 4.04 | 2 | 3.13% | 8.08 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 48 | 75% | 280.8 |
| | | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 1 | 1.56% | 3.66 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 15 | 23.44% | 24.6 |
| | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 57 | 89.06% | 228 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 5 | 7.81% | 3.35 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 2 | 3.13% | 3.3 |
| 2 | 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture | Excellent | 9.25 | 43 | 67.19% | 397.75 |
| | | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 16 | 25% | 101.44 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 3 | 4.69% | 7.5 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 2 | 3.13% | 6.32 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Just Right | 4.75 | 51 | 79.69% | 242.25 |
| | 2. Speed of presentation | Too Fast | 1.34 | 7 | 10.94% | 9.38 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 6 | 9.38% | 8.4 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Yes | 6.88 | 54 | 84.38% | 371.52 |
| | 5. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 7 | 10.94% | 27.23 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 1 | 1.56% | 0.74 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 2 | 3.13% | 3.56 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Pleasant | 6.75 | 60 | 93.75% | 405 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 4 | 6.25% | 11.12 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 5 Cincarity of the teacher | Sincere | 11.50 | 61 | 95.31% | 701.5 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 1 | 1.56% | 1.33 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 2 | 3.13% | 10.12 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 6 Overall Tanahing affactiveness | Excellent | 11.25 | 42 | 65.63% | 472.5 |
| | 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 17 | 26.56% | 153.51 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 3 | 4.69% | 19.38 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 1 | 64.06% 26.56% 4.69% 3.13% 1.56% 78.13% 0% 0% 75% 1.56% 0% 23.44% 89.06% 7.81% 3.13% 67.19% 25% 4.69% 3.13% 79.69% 10.94% 1.56% 3.13% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 95.31% 1.56% 3.13% 0% 65.63% 4.69% | 1.56 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 1 | 1.56% | 2.03 |

Strength and Weakness

· Excellent teaching

• Extremely knowledgeable and sincere. One of the best teachers so far in my opinion. Takes great attention to detail in lectures and this reflects well in students who notice them.

. Though she says all that is required but puts like very littile points which are not the way rather full context of the section she teaches. I would have been better if she includes more descriptive slides which is the one asked in exams.

THIRIUMANANTHI

helpful and friendly, extensive notes were provided

- Shimi ma'am is always ready to answer and address any queries of the students and has taken several doubt clearing sessions as and when required by the students. We appreciate maam's efforts in taking that extra time and effort to help us learn better.
- · helpful and clears doubts.

Head of The Department



ه کام





Staff: GEETHA KUMARI B.

Subject: EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS Session Name: Teacher Evaluation March 2021 R1

Session Id: TE-SN553-Apr-2021 Users Completed: 64

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K20 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 91.12

| ection | Question | Option | Mark | Users Opted | Opted % | Total Mark |
|--------|---|-----------------|-------|----------------|---------|---------------|
| 1 | 1. Subject Knowledge | Excellent | 17.75 | 49 | 76.56% | 869.75 |
| | 1. Subject tellowledge | Good | 14.13 | 14 | 21.88% | 197.82 |
| | | Fair | 10.28 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Poor | 3.53 | 1 | 1.56% | 3.53 |
| - 1 | | Can't Judge | 8.00 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Excellent | 14,50 | 39 | 60.94% | 565.5 |
| | 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations | Good | 11.81 | 20 | 31.25% | 236.2 |
| | | Fair | 8.11 | 4 | 6.25% | 32.44 |
| - 1 | | Poor | 2.01 | 1 | 1.56% | 2.01 |
| | | Can't Judge | 4.09 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 3. Teachers Willingness to Help | Excellent | 7.50 | 43 | 67.19% | 322.5 |
| | 3. reactions withingness to freip | Good | 5.71 | 18 | 28.13% | 102.78 |
| - 1 | | Fair | 4.04 | 2 | 3.13% | 8.08 |
| | | Poor | 1.13 | 1 | 1.56% | 1.13 |
| | | Can't Judge | 2.21 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers | Less than 10 | 5.85 | 39 | 60.94% | 228.15 |
| | | 10 to 25 | 3.66 | 7 | 10.94% | 25.62 |
| | | More than 25 | 1.91 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.64 | 18 | 28.13% | 29.52 |
| i | 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only | No | 4.00 | 62 | 96.88% | 248 |
| | | Yes | 0.67 | 2 | 3.13% | 1.34 |
| - 1 | | Can't Judge | 1.65 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture | Excellent | 9.25 | 34 | 53.13% | 314.5 |
| | | Satisfactory | 6.34 | 27 | 42.19% | 171.18 |
| | | Inadequate | 2.50 | 2 | 3.13% | 5 |
| | | Can't Judge | 3.16 | 1 | 1.56% | 3.16 |
| - 1 | 2. Speed of presentation | Just Right | 4.75 | 52 | 81.25% | 247 |
| | | Too Fast | 1.34 | 3 | 4.69% | 4.02 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.40 | 9 | 14.06% | 12.6 |
| - 1 | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Yes | 6.88 | 57 | 89.06% | 392.16 |
| | 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning | Some Times | 3.89 | 6 | 9.38% | 23.34 |
| | | No | 0.74 | 1 | 1.56% | 0.74 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.78 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Pleasant | 6.75 | 60 | 93.75% | 405 |
| | 4. Behavior of the teacher | Indifferent | 2.78 | 2 | 3.13% | 5.56 |
| | | Unpleasant | 1.28 | 1 | 1.56% | 1.28 |
| | | Can't Judge | 1.94 | 1 | 1.56% | 1.94 |
| - 1 | 5 Cincerity of the teacher | Sincere | 11.50 | 60 | 93.75% | 690 |
| | 5. Sincerity of the teacher | Not Sincere | 1.33 | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| | | Unable to Judge | 5.06 | 3 | 4.69% | 15.18 |
| | | Blank | 4.03 | 1 | 1.56% | 4.03 |
| | 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness | Excellent | 11.25 | 43 | 67.19% | 483.75 |
| | o. Overall Teaching effectiveness | Good | 9.03 | 18 | 28.13% | 162.54 |
| | | Fair | 6.46 | 2 | 3.13% | 12.92 |
| | | Poor | 1.56 | 1 | 1.56% | 1.56 |
| 1.0 | | Can't Judge | 2.03 | 0 | 0% | 0 |

Strength and Weakness

· Excellent teaching, no weakness found

Excellent teaching techniques with easy problem solving tricks.

It sometimes seems like she reads the answers rather than explaining it. The way of teaching is not at all organized.

excellent

· interactive and encouraging

· Explains everything systematically, with clarity Some of the portions could be discussed only on study leave which made it difficult to grasp

> PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 18

- Special Remarks

 Experience in teaching makes her easy to understand the doubts

 friendly and helpful

Head of The Department

